

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
21 February 2002 (21.02.2002)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/14490 A2**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: C12N 15/00 (74) Agent: BOYD, Victoria, L.; Genencor International, Inc., 925 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/25166
- (22) International Filing Date: 10 August 2001 (10.08.2001) (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 60/224,948 11 August 2000 (11.08.2000) US
- (71) Applicant: GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC. [US/US]; 925 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US). (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- (72) Inventors: DIAZ-TORRES, Maria, R.; 142 Johnson Avenue, Los Gatos, CA 94134 (US). SCHELLENBERGER, Volker; 914 Moreno Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94303 (US). SELIFONOVA, Olga, V.; Apartment 318, 1405 Olive Lane North, Plymouth, MN 55447 (US). MORRISON, Thomas, B.; 3767 Redwood Circle, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (US). LEE, Edwin, W.; 239 Sweeny Street, San Francisco, CA 94134 (US).
- Published:  
— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: BACILLUS TRANSFORMATION, TRANSFORMANTS AND MUTANT LIBRARIES



(57) Abstract: The present invention provides methods for building DNA constructs *in vitro*, transforming such constructs into competent *Bacillus* strains with good efficiency, and generating populations of mutants. Also provided is a method to assemble DNA constructs *in situ*.



---

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## BACILLUS TRANSFORMATION, TRANSFORMANTS AND MUTANT LIBRARIES

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to Bacillus transformation, transformants, and mutant libraries.

#### 2. Background

A widely used known method for altering the chromosome of Bacillus involves building plasmid constructs and transforming them into E. coli. Subsequently, the plasmids are isolated from E. coli and transformed into Bacillus. Widespread use of this method can be attributed, at least in part, to the notion that E. coli is easier to transform than Bacillus. In this regard, the *in vitro* ligation of plasmids results in nicked products that can transform E. coli but do not transform Bacillus.

The conventional approach to constructing libraries in Bacillus is based on replicating plasmids. Such an approach, unfortunately, is generally associated with a number of disadvantages, including:

- 1) One needs an antibiotic or other selectable marker to maintain the plasmid in the cells. This is not desirable for production strains and it constrains the choice of screening conditions.
- 2) Genes on the plasmid are present in multiple copies. This affects gene regulation and expression.
- 3) Variations in copy number can skew a library, i.e., one may preferentially identify clones with increased copy number instead of improved gene function.

Alternatively, integrating plasmids or vectors may be used. Integrating vectors do not contain an origin of replication and therefore require insertion into the host chromosome to be stably maintained. However, these are not without problems. Integration occurs via a Campbell-type recombination event that results in a duplication of the cloned region at either end of the inserted (now linear) vector. Depending on the position of the integration genes may be disrupted resulting in poor transformation efficiency.

With either method there is still a need to generate sufficient amounts of the desired sequence to effect an efficient transformation. This was usually accomplished by inserting the desired sequence into a shuttle vector that was inserted into E. coli, allowed to replicate to a high copy number, and recovering the amplified DNA. This process could run into

- 2 -

problems due to sequence size; the larger the sequence the more difficult it could be to insert and replicate. Additionally, there were sequences that would not insert or replicate in *E. coli* resulting in a loss of diversity in the DNA library that was being built. Finally, the high copy number of some plasmids/vectors is deleterious to *E. coli*.

5       The prior art methods failed to reproducibly render cells hypercompetent nor did they generate large libraries easily in *Bacillus* and other host cells. In order to generate a small library the prior art utilized *E. coli* to amplify DNA of interest to obtain a sufficient quantity for transformation of host cells. The methods provided herein allows the generation of large libraries in a reproducible manner without the use of *E. coli*.

10       Thus, there is a need for a *Bacillus* transformation method that is relatively straightforward, efficient and reproducible. In particular, a method is needed that permits the efficient transformation of *Bacillus*, without requiring intervening steps involving the use of additional microorganisms, such as *E. coli*. Particularly advantageous would be a transformation method that is amenable to the construction of mutant libraries, and which  
15       avoids or overcomes one or more of the above-mentioned disadvantages.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods for building polynucleotide constructs *in vitro*, directly transforming such constructs into competent *Bacillus* species and/or strains  
20       with good efficiency, and generating populations of mutants (e.g., a mutant library).

In one of its aspects, the present invention provides a method of producing a transformed microorganism. According to one embodiment, the method includes the steps of:

- (i) selecting a competent microorganism of the genus *Bacillus*;
- 25       (ii) producing a polynucleotide construct *in vitro*; and
- (iii) directly transforming the microorganism with the construct such that the construct becomes integrated into a chromosome of the microorganism.

In one embodiment, the construct includes mutagenized DNA.

In another embodiment, the construct includes a sequence of interest, flanked on  
30       each side by a homology box. Optionally, the construct can additionally include non-homologous outer flanks.

According to one embodiment, the construct is a non-plasmid DNA construct.

In one embodiment, the competent microorganism of the genus *Bacillus* is an ultra-competent strain, preferably Pxyl-comK.

In accordance with one embodiment, the above method additionally includes the steps of (i) selecting a target region in a chromosome of the competent *Bacillus*, and (ii) increasing (e.g., maximizing) the homology between the target region and the construct.

Another aspect of the present invention provides a library of mutants produced by  
5 the above method.

A further aspect of the present invention provides a method for the directed evolution of a sequence in the *Bacillus* chromosome. One embodiment of the method includes the steps of:

- (i) *in vitro* mutagenesis of a selected sequence,
- 10 (ii) direct transformation of the mutagenized sequence into a competent *Bacillus*, e.g., a *Bacillus* carrying Pxyl-comK,
- (iii) screening for, or selection of, mutants possessing or exhibiting a desired property; and
- (iv) repeating steps (i)-(iii) for one or more rounds.

15 Advantageously, the methods disclosed herein allow one to evolve single-copy genes of a competent *Bacillus* strain.

In another of its aspects, the present invention provides a method for constructing a polynucleotide sequence in a target locus of a selected recipient strain, wherein the strain includes a selectable marker residing at the target locus. One embodiment of the method  
20 includes the steps of:

- (i) assembling a construct comprising a sequence of interest, a selectable marker that differs from the residing marker of the recipient strain, and two flanks which are homologous to sequences of the target locus;
- (ii) transforming the recipient strain with the construct under conditions  
25 permitting the incoming sequence and selectable marker to replace the residing marker, and selecting for transformants that include the incoming selectable marker;
- (iii) repeating steps (i) - (iii), with the newly inserted selectable marker acting as the residing marker.

Optionally, after step (ii) the following additional step can be performed:  
30 testing the transformants for loss of the residing marker, thereby verifying that the construct was incorporated into the correct locus of the chromosome.

These and other features, aspects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description, in conjunction with the appended claims.

- 4 -

### DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram showing cloning by *in vitro* assembly and transformation of competent *Bacillus*, in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 2 illustrates, in schematic fashion, the addition of non-homologous flanks to the assembled sequences to increase transformation efficiency, in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram illustrating PCR mutagenesis of a region of the *Bacillus* chromosome, in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 4 is a schematic diagram illustrating that maximizing the homology between the transforming DNA and the target region of the chromosome can increase the transformation efficiency, as taught by the present invention.

Figure 5 illustrates, in schematic fashion, using a competent host that carries an inactive version of the marker gene, used to select transformants, as taught by the present invention.

Figure 6 shows representative structures of transforming DNA, according to the teachings herein. At top, homology boxes flank an incoming sequence. At center, other non-homologous sequences are added to the ends. At bottom, the ends are closed such that the transforming DNA forms a closed circle or loop.

Figure 7 illustrates, in schematic fashion, *Bacillus* strain construction by iterative marker replacement, in accordance with the teachings of the present invention.

Figures 8A & B: Figure 8A is a schematic illustration of the DNA construct used in Example 5 wherein the homology box length was varied. Figure 8B is a graph illustrating that PCR fragments containing the gene of interest, a selectable marker and varying lengths of flanking chromosome can be used for transformation directly into *Bacillus* (crosses), cloned into a plasmid and used for transformation either as an uncut plasmid (closed circles) or a linear plasmid (open circles).

Figure 9 is a schematic illustration of the mutagenized DNA fragment used in Example 2. It is 6.8kb long comprising a left homology box (approx. 2.2 kb), the gene of interest and selectable marker (approx. 2.4 kb), and a right homology box (approx. 2.1kb).

Figure 10 is a schematic of a three piece PCR fusion construct. The figure also shows the location where the primers align with a sequence within the DNA construct.

Figure 11 depicts an exemplary method of adding nonhomologous flanks to the DNA construct. The DNA construct is inserted into a plasmid, amplified and cut with restriction enzymes to add non-homologous flanking regions.

Figure 12 is a representation of a vector useful in the present invention. In this vector two Bbs I sites have been engineered into the vector. Bbs I is a type IIs restriction

- 5 -

enzyme. Other type IIS enzyme site may be engineered into the vector instead of the Bbs I site. Thus, the Bbs I site is illustrative and not limitative. The vector is cut with Bbs I and the DNA construct is inserted into the vector.

Figure 13 is a schematic of the process used to prepare the insert that was subsequently ligated into the vector.

Figure 14 is a photograph of a gel showing that the ligation reaction produced large molecular weight ligation products. The gel is a 1.2% agarose gel. Lane 1 was loaded with 2 ul of the ligation product. Lane 2 was loaded with 2 ul of the linearized vector (i.e., the vector digested with Bbs I). Lane 3 contained 250 ng of Roche ladder X standard molecular weight markers.

Figure 15 depicts the modification of a gene of interest. In the figure the MetB gene is modified so that 621 bp are deleted. The full length metB is 672 bp and thus this is not a full gene deletion. The primer N1, N2, N3 and N4 are shown with their relative alignment positions.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods for building DNA constructs *in vitro*, transforming such constructs into competent *Bacillus* strains with good efficiency, and generating populations of mutants in *Bacillus*.

### Definitions:

Unless defined otherwise herein, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Singleton, *et al.*, DICTIONARY OF MICROBIOLOGY AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, 2D ED., John Wiley and Sons, New York (1994), and Hale & Marham, THE HARPER COLLINS DICTIONARY OF BIOLOGY, Harper Perennial, NY (1991) provide one of skill with a general dictionary of many of the terms used in this invention. Although any methods and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, the preferred methods and materials are described.

Numeric ranges are inclusive of the numbers defining the range. Unless otherwise indicated, nucleic acids are written left to right in 5' to 3' orientation; amino acid sequences are written left to right in amino to carboxy orientation, respectively. The headings provided herein are not limitations of the various aspects or embodiments of the invention which can be had by reference to the specification as a whole. Accordingly, the terms defined immediately below are more fully defined by reference to the specification as a whole.

### Transforming DNA or DNA construct

The transforming sequence or transforming DNA is generated *in vitro* by PCR or other suitable techniques. The typical structure of transforming DNA is shown in a schematic form in Figure 6. The transforming DNA comprises an incoming sequence. It may further comprise an incoming sequence flanked by homology boxes. In a further embodiment, the transforming DNA may comprise other non-homologous sequences, added to the ends, i.e., stuffer sequences or flanks. The ends can be closed such that the transforming DNA forms a closed circle.

Transforming DNA is DNA used to introduce sequences into a host cell or organism. The DNA may be generated *in vitro* by PCR or any other suitable techniques. In a preferred embodiment, mutant DNA sequences are generated with site saturation mutagenesis in at least one codon. In another preferred embodiment, site saturation mutagenesis is performed for two or more codons. In a further embodiment, mutant DNA sequences have more than 40%, more than 45%, more than 50%, more than 55%, more than 60%, more than 65%, more than 70%, more than 75%, more than 80%, more than 85%, more than 90%, more than 95%, or more than 98% homology with the wild-type sequence. Alternatively, mutant DNA may be generated *in vivo* using any known mutagenic procedure such as, for example, radiation, nitrosoguanidine and the like.. The desired DNA sequence is then isolated and used in the methods provided herein.

The transforming sequences may be wild-type, mutant or modified. The sequences may be homologous or heterologous. Transforming sequence and DNA construct may be used interchangeably.

### Incoming sequence

This sequence can code for one or more proteins of interest. It can have other biological function. In many cases the incoming sequence will include a selectable marker, such as a gene that confers resistance to an antibiotic.

An incoming sequence as used herein means a DNA sequence that is newly introduced into the *Bacillus* chromosome or genome. The sequence may encode one or more proteins of interest. An incoming sequence comprises a sequence that may or may not already present in the genome of the cell to be transformed, i.e., either a homologous or heterologous sequence (defined herein).

In one embodiment, the incoming sequence encodes a heterologous protein, said protein(s) including, but not limited to hormones, enzymes, growth factors. In another embodiment, the enzyme includes, but is not limited to hydrolases, such as protease,



- 7 -

esterase, lipase, phenol oxidase, permease, amylase, pullulanase, cellulase, glucose isomerase, laccase and protein disulfide isomerase.

In a second embodiment, the incoming sequence may encode a functional wild-type gene or operon, a functional mutant gene or operon, or a non-functional gene or operon.

- 5 The non-functional sequence may be inserted into a target sequence to disrupt function thereby allowing a determination of function of the disrupted gene.

#### **Flanking Sequence**

A flanking sequence as used herein means any sequence that is either upstream or downstream of the sequence being discussed, e.g., for genes A B C, gene B is flanked by  
10 the A and C gene sequences. In a preferred embodiment, the incoming sequence is flanked by a homology box on each side. In a more preferred embodiment, the incoming sequence and the homology boxes comprise a unit that is flanked by stuffer sequence (as defined herein) on each side. A flanking sequence may be present on only a single side (either 3' or 5') but it is preferred that it be on each side of the sequence being flanked.

#### **Stuffer Sequence**

15 Stuffer sequence means any extra DNA that flanks the homology boxes, typically vector sequences, but could be any non-homologous DNA sequence. Not to be limited by any theory, a stuffer sequence provides a noncritical target for a cell to initiate DNA uptake.

#### **Wild-type genes**

20 The terms "wild-type sequence," or "wild-type gene" are used interchangeably and refer to a sequence native or naturally occurring in a host cell. The wild-type sequence may encode either a homologous or heterologous protein. A homologous protein is one the host cell would produce without intervention. A heterologous protein is one that the host cell would not produce but for the intervention.

#### **Mutant genes**

25 The terms "mutant sequence," or "mutant gene" are used interchangeably and refer to a sequence that has an alteration in at least one codon occurring in a host cell's wild-type sequence. The expression product of the mutant sequence is a protein with an altered amino acid sequence relative to the wild-type. The expression product may have an altered  
30 functional capacity, e.g., enhanced enzymatic activity and the like.

#### **Modified genes**

The terms "modified sequence" or "modified genes" are used interchangeably and means a deletion, insertion or interruption of naturally occurring nucleic acid sequence. The expression product of the modified sequence may be a truncated protein if the modification

- 8 -

is a deletion or interruption of the sequence. The truncated protein may retain biological activity. The expression product of the modified sequence may be an elongated protein if the modification is an insertion into the nucleic acid sequence. An insertion may lead to a truncated protein as the expression product if the insertion results in the formation of a stop codon. Thus, an insertion may result in either a truncated protein or an elongated protein as an expression product.

#### Host cell

"Host cell" means a cell that has the capacity to act as a host and expression vehicle for an incoming sequence according to the invention. In one embodiment, the host cell is a microorganism. In a preferred embodiment according to the present invention, "host cell" means the cells of *Bacillus*. As used herein, the genus *Bacillus* includes all members known to those of skill in the art, including but not limited to *B. subtilis*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. lentus*, *B. brevis*, *B. stearothermophilus*, *B. alkalophilus*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. coagulans*, *B. cicularans*, *B. lautus* and *B. thuringiensis*. Other cells useful in the present invention include Acinetobacter, Thermus, Deinococcus Radiodurans.

#### Homologous sequence

A homologous sequence is a sequence that is found in the same genetic source or species. For example, the host cell strain may be deficient in a specific gene. If that gene is found in other strains of the same species the gene would be considered a homologous sequence.

#### Heterologous sequence

A heterologous sequence is a sequence derived from a separate genetic source or species. A heterologous sequence is a non-host sequence, a modified sequence, a sequence from a different host cell strain, or a homologous sequence from a different chromosomal location of the host cell.

#### Homology box

Homology boxes may flank each side of the incoming sequence. The sequence of each homology box is homologous to a sequence in the *Bacillus* chromosome. These sequences direct where in the *Bacillus* chromosome the new construct gets integrated and what part of the *bacillus* chromosome will be replaced by the incoming sequence.

#### Chromosomal integration

This is a process where the incoming sequence is introduced into the *Bacillus* chromosome. The homology boxes of the transforming DNA will align with homologous

regions of the chromosome. Subsequently, the sequence between the homology boxes is replaced by the incoming sequence in a double crossover (i.e., homologous recombination).

#### **Homologous Recombination**

Homologous recombination means the exchange of DNA fragments between two  
5 DNA molecules or paired chromosomes (during crossing over) at the site of identical nucleotide sequences. In a preferred embodiment, chromosomal integration is by homologous recombination.

#### **Target Sequence**

A target sequence as used herein means the DNA sequence in the host cell that  
10 encodes the sequence where it is desired for the incoming sequence to be inserted into the host cell genome. The target sequence may encode a functional wild-type gene or operon, a functional mutant gene or operon, or a non-functional gene or operon.

#### **Selectable Markers**

Selectable markers are usually genes that confer antibiotic resistance or a metabolic  
15 advantage on the host cell to allow cells containing the exogenous DNA to be distinguished from cells that have not received any exogenous sequence during the transformation. A residing selectable marker is one that is located on the chromosome of the microorganism to be transformed. A residing selectable marker encodes a gene that is different from the selectable marker on the transforming DNA construct.

#### **Sequence of Interest**

As used herein, a sequence of interest may be an incoming sequence or a sequence  
20 to be generated *in situ*. The terms gene of interest and sequence of interest may be used interchangeably herein.

#### **Library of mutants**

A population of cells which are identical in most of their genome but include different  
25 homologues of one or more genes. Such libraries can be used, for example, to identify genes or operons with improved traits.

#### **Super competent or Hypercompetent**

As used herein, hypercompetent means that greater than 1% of a cell population is  
30 transformable with chromosomal *Bacillus* DNA. Alternatively, hypercompetent means that greater than 10% of a cell population is transformable with a self-replicating *Bacillus* plasmid. Preferably, the super competent cells will be transformed at a rate greater than observed for

the wild-type or parental cell population. Super competent and hypercompetent are used interchangeably herein.

**Embodiments:**

Although *Bacillus* is used throughout the specification it should be understood that  
5 any competent cell may be used in the inventive methods disclosed herein.

Figure 6 depicts the DNA constructs that find use in the present invention. Briefly, in one embodiment, the DNA construct comprises an incoming sequence flanked by homology boxes on each side, i.e., there is a left homology box and a right homology box, and may be referred to as a basic DNA construct. In a second embodiment the basic DNA construct  
10 further comprises flanking sequences, i.e., stuffer sequences, on each end and may be referred to as a flanked DNA construct. In another embodiment, the flanked DNA construct is circularized and may be referred to as a circular DNA construct. The circular DNA construct may comprise plasmid DNA or it may comprise non-plasmid DNA in the portion represented by a thin line linking the ends of the flanking sequences, i.e., the flanking  
15 sequences' free ends should there be no circularization, in Figure 6.

The incoming sequence may encode more than one protein. As shown in Figure 1 the DNA construct comprises a left homology box, an incoming sequence comprising a first sequence (seq. 1) and a second sequence (seq. 2), a selectable marker (here, for example purposes only, the antibiotic marker conferring kanamycin resistance, kan, is used), and a  
20 right homology box. It should be noted that the figure is not limiting on the inventive method and that more than two sequences may comprise the incoming sequence, i.e., there may be a third sequence (seq. 3), etc.

The first and second sequences may encode different and distinct proteins, either full length or portions thereof. For example, the first sequence may encode a protease (or  
25 portion thereof) and the second sequence may encode a hormone (or portion thereof).

Alternatively, the first and second sequences may encode different portions of the same protein. For example, the first sequence may encode the amino terminal and the second sequence may encode the carboxy terminal of a single protein. This would allow either or both of the sequences to be selectively mutagenized with different mutagenizing  
30 protocols being used. Or the carboxy and amino terminal sequences of a protein may be joined while omitting an intervening sequence found in the native protein.

As another option, the first and second sequences may encode variants of a single protein. Thus, for example, sequence 1 may encode Type A hemoglobin while sequence 2 encodes Type S hemoglobin.

- 11 -

The various components of the DNA construct may be assembled by PCR and/or ligation. It should be noted that any technique may be used as long as the DNA construct has the final configuration desired.

Once the DNA construct is assembled *in vitro* it may be used to: 1) insert  
5 heterologous sequences into a desired target sequence of a host cell, or 2) mutagenize a region of the host cell chromosome, i.e., replace an endogenous sequence with a heterologous sequence, or 3) delete target genes.

As noted in Figure 1, the recipient chromosome will possess sequences homologous and/or complementary to the homology boxes of the DNA construct. The homology boxes  
10 of the DNA construct will align with the homologous region of the recipient chromosome. The DNA construct will then insert into the recipient chromosome, preferably via homologous recombination.

The DNA construct may further comprise flanking, non-homologous sequences, i.e., stuffer sequences, and is illustrated in Figure 2. The addition of non-homologous  
15 sequences, as shown below, increases the transformation efficiency. Not to be limited by theory, applicants propose the following mechanism. The mechanism of transformation of competent *Bacillus* is described in Dubnau, D. (1993) *Bacillus subtilis and other gram-positive bacteria* 555-584. Briefly, the transforming DNA binds to the cell and subsequently one strand is cleaved. The heterologous DNA is taken up by the cell starting from this  
20 cleavage site. If the initial cleavage occurs between the homologous flanks (shown in yellow) then chromosomal integration by double crossover becomes impossible. In an embodiment of the present invention, non-homologous flanks are added to the assembled sequences to increase transformation efficiency. Adding flanks to the transforming DNA, as taught herein, increases the probability that the DNA after being taken up will still retain both  
25 homologous regions that are required for chromosomal integration. This leads to an increase in transformation efficiency.

In one general embodiment, the present invention involves assembling a DNA construct *in vitro*, followed by direct cloning of such construct into a competent *Bacillus*, such that the construct becomes integrated into a chromosome of the *Bacillus*. For  
30 example, PCR fusion and/or ligation can be employed to assemble a DNA construct *in vitro*. In a preferred embodiment, the DNA construct is a non-plasmid DNA construct. In one embodiment, the DNA construct comprises a DNA into which a mutation has been introduced. *Bacillus* can then be transformed with the DNA construct. In this regard, highly competent mutants of *Bacillus* are preferably employed to facilitate the direct cloning of the  
35 constructs into the cells. For example, *Bacillus* carrying the *comK* gene under the control of a xylose-inducible promoter (Pxyl-*comK*) can be reliably transformed with very high

efficiency, according to the teachings herein. Direct transformation means that an intermediate cell is not used to amplify, or otherwise process, the DNA construct prior to introduction into the host cell. Introduction of the DNA construct into the host cell includes those physical and chemical methods known in the art to introduce DNA into a host cell without insertion into a plasmid or vector. Such methods include but are not limited to calcium chloride precipitation, electroporation, naked DNA, liposomes and the like. The DNA constructs may be co-transformed with a plasmid without being inserted into the plasmid. A library of mutants can be generated.

Figure 1 illustrates how DNA sequences can be assembled and moved into the *Bacillus* chromosome, according to the teachings herein. In a preferred embodiment, parts of the assembled sequence are random. As a result, a population of mutants can be obtained, where a single copy of the mutated sequence has been integrated into the *Bacillus* chromosome.

As previously discussed, a widely used prior method for altering the chromosome of *Bacillus* involves building plasmid constructs and transforming them into *E. coli*. Subsequently, the plasmids are isolated from *E. coli* and transformed into *Bacillus*. The present invention, in contrast, provides *in vitro* construction and direct transformation into *Bacillus*, without the use of any such intervening microorganisms.

As also discussed above, the conventional approach to constructing libraries in *Bacillus* is based on replicating plasmids. Such an approach, unfortunately, is associated with a number of disadvantages, including:

- 1 One needs antibiotic or other selectable marker to maintain the plasmid in the cells. This is not desirable for production strains and it constrains choice of screening conditions.
- 2 Genes on the plasmid are present in multiple copies. This affects gene regulation and expression. The approach herein, on the other hand, allows one to evolve single copy genes of a strain.
- 3 Variations in copy number can skew a library, i.e. one may preferentially identify clones with increased copy number instead of improved gene function.

It will be appreciated that the present invention overcomes such problems associated with the use of replicating plasmids.

#### Multimerize the assembled sequence

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the transforming DNA can be multimerized, for example, by ligation. This has a similar effect as adding non-homologous flanks, i.e., stuffer sequences. It increases the probability the DNA after uptake into the cell

will still have both homology boxes flanking the incoming sequence, thereby increasing transformation efficiency.

#### **Mutagenizing a region of the Bacillus chromosome**

The present invention provides a process for mutagenizing a region of the Bacillus chromosome, an embodiment of which is illustrated in Figure 3 (note, the hatched region has been mutagenized). One can amplify a region of the Bacillus chromosome under mutagenic conditions and transform the resulting DNA back into Bacillus. If the PCR reaction is performed under conditions which favor the introduction of mutations, then one obtains a mutant library. Further, the mutagenic PCR product may be assembled with homology box and insertion sequences to generate transforming DNA in which only the targeted area is mutagenized. To enrich transformants one can introduce a selectable marker close to the target sequence prior to the mutagenesis. Alternatively, if the mutagenized region of the chromosome does not carry a selectable marker, a congression will enrich for cells also taking up transforming DNA. For example, a plasmid bearing a selectable marker is co-transformed with the transforming DNA. The population of cells selected for the plasmid marker will be enriched for the presence of insertion sequences. Later, the plasmid may be removed from the cell, while maintaining the insertion sequence within the chromosome. Lastly, in the absence of selectable marker, the high transformation rate permits direct screening of cells for desired transformants.

In another embodiment, the assembly of long DNA sequences is accomplished *in situ*. Individual DNA constructs are utilized to introduce segments of the final heterologous DNA sequence into a target sequence or locus of the host cell.

#### **Construction of long sequences by iterative marker replacement**

This method, as taught herein and illustrated in Figure 7, provides that one go through several steps of in vitro assembly and transformation. As a result one can introduce many sequences into a particular locus of the Bacillus chromosome. Each round replaces the antibiotic marker that was introduced by the previous round. As a result one can repeat the process many times and still work with only two antibiotic markers.

According to one embodiment, the process comprises the steps of:

- (i) by PCR fusion or other suitable technique one assembles a sequence comprising a sequence of interest, a selection marker and two flanks, which are homologous to the target locus;
- (ii) the recipient strain is transformed with the constructed sequence and one selects for resistance to the incoming selection marker;

- 14 -

(iii) the transformants are then tested for loss of the residing marker which ensures that the construct was incorporated into the correct locus of the chromosome;

(iv) subsequently, the above cycle can be repeated by reversing the role of the incoming and residing markers.

5 In another embodiment, the microorganism doesn't possess an endogenous selection marker in the first round of transformation and cannot be tested for the loss of a residing marker. Thus, after being transformed the microorganism is screened for the incoming selection marker.

This method allows one to assemble large sequences (e.g., >>5kb) in vivo from  
10 smaller pieces, which can be generated in vitro by PCR fusion or other suitable techniques. Only two antibiotic markers are required because each step displaces the marker gene used in the previous round.

The entire resulting construct can be moved between different strains using chromosomal transformation or transduction. Thus, by way of this method, one can  
15 accumulate various sequences during the course of a project and retain the ability to simultaneously move them into a new strain.

During the final cloning cycle, one can use a selected gene that is essential for growth under some conditions (e.g., synthesis of an amino acid, utilization of a certain sugar) instead of the incoming marker. The resulting strain would then be free of any  
20 antibiotic genes.

It should be appreciated that the iterative aspect of this method generates value as it permits the assembly of large sequences. This method allows one to introduce multiple sequences from various sources into a strain (e.g., bacteria, fungi, eukaryotic, etc.). This method permits one to generate tandem gene repeats as a method for increasing gene copy  
25 number. This method permits one to generate strains containing multiple mutations and inserted sequences but no antibiotic markers.

The methods disclosed herein directed to the assembly of transforming DNA constructs may be used to direct the evolution of a sequence or target locus within the host cell. Selection of the target sequence allows the design and/or *in vitro* mutagenesis of the  
30 target sequence. The mutagenesis of a locus of the host cell, i.e., recipient, chromosome is depicted in schematic form in Figure 3. It should be appreciated that although PCR mutagenesis is depicted any in vitro method of mutagenesis may be used. Thus, the depiction of PCR mutagenesis is illustrative and not limitative.

According to one preferred embodiment, the method comprises the following steps:

- 35
- 1) assembling a transforming DNA construct;
  - 2) *in vitro* mutagenesis of the DNA construct;



- 15 -

- 3) transforming a competent host cell with the mutagenized sequence;
- 4) screening for or selecting mutants having a desired property or characteristic; and
- 5) repeating steps 1-4 for one or more rounds.

5 In a preferred embodiment the host cell is a *Bacillus*. In a more preferred embodiment the *Bacillus* is a supercompetent strain. The supercompetent strain is preferably a *Bacillus* carrying the Pxyl-comK construct.

#### Identification of Transformants

Although the presence/absence of marker gene expression suggests that the gene  
10 of interest is also present, its presence and expression should be confirmed. For example, if the nucleic acid encoding a secretion factor is inserted within a marker gene sequence, recombinant cells containing the insert can be identified by the absence of marker gene function. Alternatively, a marker gene can be placed in tandem with nucleic acid encoding the secretion factor under the control of a single promoter. Expression of the marker gene  
15 in response to induction or selection usually indicates expression of the gene of interest as well.

Alternatively, host cells which contain the coding sequence for a sequence of interest and express the protein may be identified by a variety of procedures known to those of skill in the art. These procedures include, but are not limited to, DNA-DNA or DNA-RNA  
20 hybridization and protein bioassay or immunoassay techniques which include membrane-based, solution-based, or chip-based technologies for the detection and/or quantification of the nucleic acid or protein.

#### Other Embodiments

*B. subtilis* is a bacteria which is capable of entering sporulation during times of great  
25 stress in the environment, such as extreme lack of nutrients. Making this decision triggers a very elaborate and expensive conversion to the sporulation development state. Over 50 genes which need to be expressed for sporulation are under the control of eight sporulation control genes. These are Spo0A, OB, OE, OF, OH, OJ, OK, and OL, with spo0A being the most critical control factor. Mutation in the sporulation control genes allows the cells to  
30 ignore their environment so that they fail to enter sporulation and continue production of heterologous or homologous proteins. A mutation in the oppA gene of the oppA operon has been shown to enhance protein production. See WO 00/39323.

The *degU* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* encodes a protein involved in the control of expression of different cellular functions, including degradative enzyme synthesis,  
35 competence for DNA uptake and the presence of flagella. Two classes of mutations have been identified in both genes. One class of mutations leads to decreased expression (*degU*

mutations) while the second one leads to enhanced expression [*degU*(Hy) mutations] of regulated genes, i.e., genes regulated by the *degU* system. This second class of mutations is associated with a pleiotropic phenotype which includes the ability to sporulate in the presence of glucose, loss of flagella and decreased genetic competence.

5 Many industrially important products, e.g., enzymes, hormones, growth factors, and other proteins, are produced from members of the genus *Bacilli* in large scale fermentation processes. Some of these include proteases, lipases, amylases, and beta-glucanases. The protein of interest to be expressed may be either homologous or heterologous to the host. In the first case overexpression should be read as expression above normal levels in  
10 said host. In the latter case basically any expression is of course overexpression. Thus, it is advantageous to have a cell that will fail to sporulate yet possesses enhanced expression of genes of interest.

An *oppA* (i.e., *spo0K*) mutation in combination with a *degU*(Hy) mutation would appear to be ideal for production of a gene of interest. However, it has been shown that  
15 mutation of the *oppA* gene results in a decreased competency. See Rudner et al., J. Bacteriology (1991) 173:1388-1398. As noted above the *degU*(Hy) mutant also results in decreased competency. Thus, introduction of a gene of interest or other genetic manipulation into such a host cell would be significantly more difficult than in the absence of such mutation.

20 It has been advantageously found that the inventive methods described herein overcome this difficulty. Use of a *pyxI-comK* *Bacillus* strain overcomes the decreased competency exhibited by *degU*(Hy) *oppA*<sup>-</sup> strains. It has been found that the introduction of *pyxI-comK* into *Bacillus* not only restores competency but the cells are hypercompetent relative to wild-type (or parental) cells. Thus, heterologous or homologous sequences may  
25 be introduced into previously low competency cells.

Transforming *Bacillus* with PCR-generated DNA and getting many transformants (>100). The methods provided by the present invention allows for the generation of large libraries.

The methods disclosed herein may be used with mutations that enhance  
30 competence. Employing other mutations to enhance competence, e.g., *comS* instead of *comK*, mutations to *comS* homologs and the like are contemplated by the present invention.

The methods described herein may be used in any microorganism that can be made competent. Direct transformation in other organisms which can be made competent (like *Acinetobacter*, *Thermus*, *Deinococcus Radiodurans*) is contemplated.

- 17 -

The methods herein should work for any recombination goal, such as insertions, deletions or replacements. Plasmids with temperature sensitive replication would facilitate the curing step. Ligating the PCR products to form concatamers are contemplated for improving the transformation frequency and allowing smaller homology boxes to be used.

5        **Have inactive homologue**  
         **reside in the host to improve transformation efficiency**

A mutagenesis experiment, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, is illustrated in Figure 3. In the illustrated embodiment, the incoming  
10 (mutagenized) DNA comprises a sequence which shares no homology with the target area of the Bacillus chromosome. In such case, a successful chromosomal integration requires that both homologous flanks of the incoming DNA align with their respective homologous regions of the Bacillus chromosome. The DNA between the two homologous regions is required to "bulge" if the incoming DNA differs in its length from the target region of the  
15 chromosome. As a result, the transformation efficiency is diminished. If the target region of the Bacillus chromosome is made highly homologous to the entire incoming DNA, then the alignment of both sequences becomes more efficient and the overall transformation efficiency can be increased (see Figure 4). One preferred way to implement this concept is to construct a recipient strain which contains a non-functional mutant of a selectable marker  
20 (see Figure 5).

**EXAMPLES**

The following examples are illustrative and are not intended to limit the invention.

25    **Example 1:**  
         **Construction of an integrative plasmid containing**  
         **a xylR- PxyIA- comK cassette (plasmid pMComK1) and transformation into Bacillus.**

A fragment containing the xylR repressor gene and the xyIA promoter was obtained  
30 by PCR using primers xylR.2.f (this primer will incorporate a HindIII site) and xylA.1.r and chromosomal DNA from BG168. A second fragment containing the comK gene including the first aa codon was obtained by PCR using primers comK.2.f and comK.2.r (this primer will incorporate a XbaI site) and same chromosomal DNA. After purification, the fragments were fused together by mixing them in a PCR reaction containing the external primers  
35 (xylR.2.f and comK.2.r). A PCR fragment of the expected size was purified, digested with HindIII/XbaI and ligated into the integration vector pJM103 (Kapp, Edwards et al., 1990)

- 18 -

(containing carbenicillin and chloramphenicol resistance genes as markers) digested with the same restriction enzymes. Ligation products were transformed into MN296 *E. coli* cells, colonies were selected on 50ug carbenicillin, plasmid DNA was isolated and screened for the 2.1 kbp xylR-PxylA-comK insert by DNA digest. The plasmid was integrated into B. subtilis. The resulting strain was grown overnight in L-broth medium, diluted to 1 OD<sub>600</sub> in L-broth containing 1% xylose and grown 2 hours with shaking to induce comK expression. The resulting process produced a population of cells in which greater than 1% of cells are transformed by bacillus chromosomal DNA containing a marker, indicating that these cells were super competent. Cells were considered supercompetent if greater than 10% of the cells were transformable with a Bacillus self-replicating plasmid. These cells were utilized in the following examples.

The primer sequences used were as follows:

15 xylR.2.f (SEQ ID NO: 1)  
GCGCGCAAGCTTTGCTTCAGAAATACTCCTAGAATAAAAAAATC

20 xylA.1.r (SEQ ID NO: 2)  
GGTGCGTCTGTTTTCTGACTCATGTGATTCCCCCTTAAAAATAAATTCA

comK.2.f (SEQ ID NO: 3)  
TGAATTTATTTTAAAGGGGGAAATCACATGAGTCAGAAAACAGACGCACC

25 comk.2.r (SEQ ID NO: 4)  
GCGCGCTCTAGAGGTATATGGCATCACCGGAGGAATTCCG

### **Example 2:**

#### **Mutagenesis of the subtilisin gene using Z-Taq polymerase**

30 This Example describes an exemplary method to randomly mutagenize a large DNA fragment, containing a gene of interest (e.g. subtilisin gene) with an antibiotic marker and approximately 2kb of homologous DNA on either side of the subtilisin gene. In this specific example, the mutagenized DNA fragment is 6.8kb long comprising a left homology box (approx. 2.2 kb), the gene of interest and selectable marker (approx. 2.4 kb), and a right homology box (approx. 2.1kb). See Figure 9.

Chromosomal DNA of Bacillus was extracted from an overnight culture of cells grown on semi solid nutrient agar plates (LA) + chloramphenicol plates. Usually three colonies from the overnight plate were resuspended into 0.1 ml of SMM medium (0.5M sucrose, 40 0.02M sodium maleate, 0.02M magnesium chloride-6H<sub>2</sub>O, pH 6.5) containing lysozyme (100,000 U). The cell suspension was incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C with shaking. An

additional 1 ml of SMM was added to the cells and the suspension microfuged for 1.5 minutes. The supernatant was removed and the step repeated. Finally the cell pellet was resuspended in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 0.5 mM EDTA, vigorously vortexed for 30 seconds and the sample was frozen at -20°C.

5

For PCR mutagenesis, a 100ul PCR reaction was set up using the Z-Taq polymerase kit (TaKaRa Shuzo Co., Ltd.). A typical reaction mixture contained 0.25uM of both primers, 125uM of Z-Taq dNTP mixture, 5-10ng of the chromosomal DNA, 2.5U of Z-Taq polymerase, 1X Z-Taq polymerase buffer. The PCR amplification parameters were:

10 98°C for 10sec (first cycle only) followed by 98°C for 5sec, 58°C for 10sec 72°C for 2.5 minutes. The PCR reaction was run for a total of 30 cycles. The primer sequences to amplify the 6.8Kb fragment were as follows:

Primer 1 ATATGTGGTGCCGAAACGCTCTGGGGTAAC (SEQ ID NO: 5)

Primer 6 CTTTCTTCATGCGCCGTCAGCTTTTCTC (SEQ ID NO: 10)

15

After the amplification process, the PCR products were analyzed on an agarose gel. For a typical PCR reaction, the limited amount of dNTP used yielded approximately 15ug of DNA. The mutagenized DNA was then transformed into P<sub>xyl</sub>-comK Bacillus strains to generate a library.

20

### **Example 3:**

#### **Random mutagenesis of the signal sequence and propeptide of subtilisin**

This Example provides an exemplary method for randomly mutagenizing the signal sequence and propeptide of subtilisin.

25

Primers used in the random mutagenesis reactions were as follows :

- 1 ATATGTGGTGCCGAAACGCTCTGGGGTAAC (SEQ ID NO: 5)
- 2 GACTTACTTAAAAGACTATTCTGTCATGCAGCTGCAATC (SEQ ID NO: 6)
- 3 GATTGCAGCTGCATGACAGAATAGTCTTTTAAGTAAGTC (SEQ ID NO: 7)
- 30 4 CTAATTCCCATGGCACTGATTGCGC (SEQ ID NO: 8)
- 5 GCGCAATCAGTGCCATGGGGAATTAG (SEQ ID NO: 9)
- 6 CTTTCTTCATGCGCCGTCAGCTTTTCTC (SEQ ID NO: 10)

35

To randomly mutagenize the signal sequence and propeptide of subtilisin gene, a PCR reaction using Primers 1 and 2 generated the 2.2 Kb left flanking region. Primers 3 and 4 were used to mutate a 646bp region comprising of the signal sequence and propeptide region. Primers 5 and 6 were used to generate the 3.9kb right flanking region. Primers 2 & 3 are complementary to one another, as are primers 4 & 5. See Figure 10. A typical

- 20 -

amplification reaction (100ul) was set up using either 0.5uM of Primers 1 and 2 (for the 2.2Kb fragment) or 0.5uM of Primers 5 and 6 (for the 3.9Kb fragment) and 200uM of dNTP, 2ul of log phase liquid culture grown to  $OD_{600}=0.5$  (source of *Bacillus* chromosomal DNA), 4U rTth XL polymerase, 1.25U Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase, 1X rTth XL polymerase buffer and 1.1mM Mg (OAc)<sub>2</sub>.

The amplification parameters for the 2.2Kb and 3.9Kb fragments were: 95°C for 3min, 95°C for 30sec, 54°C for 30sec, and 68°C for 2min for a total of 30 cycles.

The PCR reaction products were analyzed on an agarose gel. If the correct size fragment was seen then the PCR product was purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit.

The 646bp fragment for mutagenizing the maturation site was amplified using Primers 3 and 4 (0.5uM each), 33ul 3x dNTP, 2ul of liquid culture grown to  $OD_{600}=0.5$  (source of *Bacillus* chromosomal DNA), 0-0.3mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> (varies upon the rate of mutagenesis desired), 5.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5U Taq polymerase, 1X Taq polymerase buffer in a 100ul reaction. The PCR amplification parameters were as follows: 95°C for 30sec, 54°C for 30sec, and 68°C for 30sec for a total of 30 cycles. The PCR reaction products were analyzed on an agarose gel. If the correct size fragment was seen, the PCR product was purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit.

The assembly of the entire 6.8kb fragment containing the mutagenized maturation site was done using 3-5ul each of 646bp, 2.2kb, and 3.9kb fragments, 0.5uM each of Primers 1 and 6, 300uM of dNTP, 4U of rTth XL polymerase, 1.25U Pfu of Turbo DNA polymerase, 1X rTth XL polymerase buffer, and 1.1mM Mg (OAc)<sub>2</sub> in a 100ul reaction. The parameters for the assembly reaction were as follows: 95°C for 30sec, 48-50°C for 30sec, and 68°C for 7min for a total of 30 cycles. The PCR reaction products were analyzed on an agarose gel. If the correct size fragment was seen, the PCR product was transformed into P<sub>xyl</sub>-comK *Bacillus* strains to generate a library. A total of 9,000 transformants were obtained.

#### **Example 4:**

#### **Increasing the efficiency of transformation by adding non-homologous flanks to the transforming DNA**

This Example provides an exemplary method to increase the transformation efficiency of *Bacillus* for obtaining larger libraries. Although this example utilizes a plasmid that is amplified in *E. coli*, one skilled in the art will recognize any method that results in the addition of non-homologous flanks may be used with the present invention. The use of *E.*

- 21 -

coli in the present example was a rapid and simple means for adding non-homologous flanks and should not be construed as limiting.

Figure 9 shows a schematic of the DNA construct used for the present example. Primers 1 and 6 were used to generate the 6.8Kb DNA fragment. A typical PCR reaction (100ul) contained 0.25uM each of Primers 1 and 6, 300uM of dNTP, 5-10ng chromosomal DNA, 2.5U of Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase (Stratagene), and 1.5X of Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase buffer. The PCR amplification parameters were as follows: 95°C for 30sec, 54°C for 30sec, and 68°C for 7min for a total of 30 cycles. The PCR reaction products were analyzed on an agarose gel. If the correct size fragments were seen, the 6.8Kb DNA fragment was cloned into the TOPO vector following the manufacturers protocol (Invitrogen). The vector was then transformed into TOP 10 E. coli competent cells.

A 10.3Kb fragment was generated as shown in Figure 11. Plasmid DNA was prepared from the transformed E. coli cells using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep to obtain lots of DNA. The plasmid DNA was digested with Xma I restriction endonuclease (no Xma I site is present in the 6.8kb DNA fragment) to linearize the vector.

The non-homologous flanks were derived from the TOPO cloning vector and were of E. coli based plasmid origin; therefore, the sequences were not expected to have any significant homology to regions in the Bacillus chromosome.

Transformation efficiency of Pxyl-comK Bacillus competent cells for the two constructs: without (6.8Kb fragment) and with (10.3Kb fragment) the non-homologous flanking sequences was compared.

Transformation with  $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$  moles DNA (approximately 1ug/ml) of the 6.8kb DNA fragment (i.e. without the non-homologous flanks) yielded approximately  $3.2 \times 10^4$  cfu/ml (0.01% transformation efficiency). Transformation with  $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$  moles DNA of the 10.3Kb linearized fragment (i.e. with the non-homologous flanks) yielded approximately  $7.2 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml (0.25% transformation efficiency).

As an alternative to using the TOPO cloning kit from Invitrogen, one could also ligate the 6.8kb PCR product to itself. The multimerized DNA can then be transformed into Pxyl-comK Bacillus strains to generate a library.

#### Example 5:

#### Optimizing Double Cross Over

#### Integrations by varying the size of the homology box

This Example provides an exemplary method to evaluate transformation efficiency of Bacillus as a function of varying the size of the homology box and stuffer sequence.

Using primers of varying lengths that contained flanks corresponding to 100, 200, 400, 800, and 1600 bp homology boxes, a series of PCR fragments were generated containing genes coding for a protease, a selectable marker (CAT) and increasing amounts of flanking chromosome sequence. The DNA construct is shown in schematic form in Figure 8A.

The various primers used for the amplification reaction were as follows:

HB size	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
100	CCTTGCAAATCGGATGCCTG (SEQ ID NO: 11)	CGCTGTTATTGCTTTTGTCTTCT GT (SEQ ID NO: 12)
200	GTTGGATAGAGCTGGGTAAAG CC (SEQ ID NO: 13)	CGCCGGATTTTATGTCATTGATA A (SEQ ID NO: 14)
400	AGCCGTTTTGCTCATAACAAGC TT (SEQ ID NO: 15)	TGAAGTGAACATGTCAGAAA (SEQ ID NO: 16)
800	ATAGCTTGTCGCGATCACCT (SEQ ID NO: 17)	TTTTTGACAGACCGTTGGTTT (SEQ ID NO: 18)
1600	CGCGACACAGCAGTTCAGCA (SEQ ID NO: 19)	TATCATTTTTGCTTAATTTG (SEQ ID NO: 20)

A typical PCR reaction (100ul) contained 0.25uM of Forward and Reverse Primers each, 300uM of dNTP, 5-10ng 6.8Kb DNA fragment generated in Example 4, 2.5U Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase, and 1.5X Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase buffer. The cycling conditions for producing DNA fragments with different sized homology boxes were as follows: 95°C, 30 sec; 52°C, 30 sec, and 68°C for 3 to 6 minutes for a total of 30 cycles (extension times depended on the expected product length, the rule being 1000 bp/min).

An aliquot of this reaction was saved for the direct transformation into *Bacillus*, while the rest was cloned into the Zero Blunt TOPO vector following manufacturer directions (Invitrogen). The cloned fragments were transformed into competent *E. coli* cells and plasmid DNA prepared.

Figure 8B shows the transformation efficiency for various sized homology boxes in either uncut plasmid, linear plasmid or PCR product (no plasmid). Transformation efficiency increases as the homology box size increases for each DNA construct tested. 0.2 ug of uncut plasmid (**closed circle**), linear plasmid (*sfI* at 50C for 5 hrs, **open circle**), or the PCR products (direct transformation, **cross**) were transformed into 0.2 ml competent OS22.9 *Bacillus* cells and colonies on solid L-agar plates with 10 ug/ml chloramphenicol were counted in order to estimate transformation efficiency. To confirm that the majority of



- 23 -

transformants were double cross over integrations, chromosome DNA from twenty randomly selected clones was amplified using primers flanking the homology box, these products indicated the selected clones had inserts generated by double crossover.

The effect of homology box size on transformation efficiency was measured (Figure 8B). Transformation efficiency was proportional to homology box size.

#### Experimental Discussion

Part of the improvement was due to having a larger length of DNA because the efficiency of transformation jumped over 10-fold when the PCR fragment was cloned into a vector. By cloning into a vector, the integrating DNA is flanked by stuffer sequence; presumably this stuffer sequence reduces the chance that the Bacillus DNA transporter will initiate in sequences between the homology boxes.

#### Example 6:

##### Site Directed Mutagenesis using QuikChange

This example describes an exemplary method to perform site directed mutagenesis on the gene of interest and directly transform Bacillus strains with the mutagenized DNA.

Site-saturation libraries were created by PCR at 3 different sites in the gene of interest (in this case protease) by using QuikChange (Stratagene)

The primers used were as follows:

Primer A: GAAGAGGATGCAGAANNNSACGACAATGGCGCAATC (SEQ ID NO: 21)  
Primer B: GATTGCGCCATTGTCGTSNNTTCTGCATCCTCTTC (SEQ ID NO: 22)  
Primer C: GAGGATGCAGAAGTANNNSACAATGGCGCAATCAG (SEQ ID NO: 23)  
Primer D: CTGATTGCGCCATTGTSNNTACTTCTGCATCCTC (SEQ ID NO: 24)  
Primer E: GATGCAGAAGTAACGNNNSATGGCGCAATCAGTG (SEQ ID NO: 25)  
Primer F: CACTGATTGCGCCATSNNGTTACTTCTGCATC (SEQ ID NO: 26)

Three separate PCR reactions were set up using primer pairs A&B, C&D and E&F. A typical PCR reaction (100ul) contained 1X Pfu Buffer, 1.5ul 10mM dNTPs, 1ul of 25uM primer, 1ul Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase, 200ng of plasmid DNA. The cycling conditions were: 95°C for 35 seconds for one cycle; (95°C for 35 seconds, 50°C for 1 minute, 68°C for 16.5 minutes) for 16 cycles, and 68°C for 7 minutes

The expected 7.8Kb band was identified on the agarose gel (~100ng/ul). The PCR products were digested with 1 uL DpnI at 37C for an hour to eliminate the pMEO3 template. The digestion reaction was spiked with another 1ul of DpnI and digested for another hour. A mock PCR reaction that did not undergo the PCR amplification was also digested to see how well DpnI works to get rid of the template DNA (template control).

- 24 -

A supercompetent *Bacillus* strain was directly transformed with the digested products. About 200ng of the library was incubated with 100ul of OD 600=0.5. The reaction was incubated at 37°C for 1.5 hours with shaking. Two transformations were set up for each of the conditions, which included the three-mutagenesis reactions (with A&B, C&D, and E&F), a template control, a parent vector control and no DNA condition. Serial dilutions of the cell suspension were streaked on selection plates and following O/N incubation; the transformation efficiency was computed from the number of colonies obtained.

Transformation efficiency as follows:

DNA source	Colonies/ug
A&B	280
C&D	305
E&F	405
Template control	0
Parent vector	2.50E+05
No DNA	0

#### **Example 7:**

##### **Direct transformation of ligated product.**

This example provides an exemplary method of mutagenizing the gene of interest with error prone PCR (forms separate PCR products which can be annealed together) and directly transforming the ligated product into *Bacillus* strain.

##### **Generation of the vector**

The source of the vector DNA was the 800bp homology box plasmid described in Example 5. Bbs I sites were incorporated into this vector and 20ug of the plasmid was digested overnight at 37°C in New England Biolabs Buffer 2 with Bbs I to generate the vector with flanking sites. See Figure 12.

##### **Preparation of insert**

Insert DNA was generated from annealing two overlapping error prone PCR products. See Figure 13. The primer sets used for the PCR were:

P1 CTCTGAATTTTTTTTAAAAGGAGAGGGTAAAG (SEQ ID NO: 27)  
 P2 AATTCCCATGGTACCGATTGCG (SEQ ID NO: 28)  
 P3 TCTACTCTGAATTTTTTTTAAAAGGAGAGGGTAAAG (SEQ ID NO: 29)  
 P4 CCCCATGGTACCGATTGCG (SEQ ID NO: 30)

Error prone PCR products were formed by both sets of primers (P1&P2 [solid line product] and P3&P4 [hatched line product]) using conditions described in Example 3, with

- 25 -

cycling conditions 94°C for 1min, 50°C for 1min, 68°C for 2min, for 30 cycles. Negative control was a reaction without MnCl<sub>2</sub>. PCR products (330bp) were purified using Qiaquick PCR columns and pure DNA was pooled together.

For annealing of the two products, 1.3ug of each was combined and heated at 95°C for 5 min then allowed to cool to room temp in heat block. Only one out of four annealed products were expected to ligate properly with vector correctly.

#### Ligation

A 1:5 Molar ratio (vector:insert) was used for ligation (DNA ligation kit from PanVera TAK6021) with a total of 440ng of DNA in the reaction mixture (10ul of vector+ Insert DNA + 10ul of Takara Biomedicals Ligase solution). This 1:5 ratio was to the insert of interest (1 out of 4 of the reannealed products) so overall it was actually a 1:20 ratio (vector:annealed PCR product). Appropriate DNA controls were also made. The ligation reaction was incubated for 1hr at 16°C. Incubating the reaction mixtures at 65°C for 15 minutes inactivated the ligase. The incompletely digested template was destroyed by incubating the ligation mixture with 1000U of Bbs I in NEB2 buffer at 37°C for 2h. This mixture was then used for Bacillus transformation.

#### Transformation

5ul (55ng) of the 440ng ligation was transformed into 200ul of Bacillus competent cells. The cell suspension was shaken vigorously 1hr at 37°C. One hundred ul of serial dilutions of the cell suspension was plated on selection plates. 129,000 CFU/ug ligation mixtures were obtained, useful for combinatorial library construction. Ligation conditions produced large tandem repeats, which facilitated Bacillus transformation. See Figure 14 (photo). Lane 1 depicts large, low mobility ligation products, Lane 2 depicts mobility of unligated vector. Lane 3 depicts molecular weight standards.

Lane	DNA	CFU/ug
1	Ligated DNA	1.3e5
2	Linear vector	0
3	DNA 1 KB ladder	

#### Example 8:

##### Markerless deletion by insertion

This example demonstrates the deletion of the metB gene of Bacillus. A PCR product was generated from sequences that flank the met B gene. This product and a

replicating *Bacillus* plasmid were co-transformed into the competent *Bacillus*, and cells resistant to the antibiotic marker on the plasmid were selected. These cells were screened for the *metB* deletion by methionine auxotrophy and absence of *metB* sequence from a PCR product.

#### Preparation of insert:

PCR with 100f/r (Primers N1 and N2 in Figure 15) produced a 3958bp and 101f/r (Primers N3 and N4 in Figure 15) produced a 3451bp. When fused together, a 7409 bp fragment is generated that is deleted for nucleotides 1-621 of *metB* (full length *metB* is 672 bp; thus, this is not a full deletion). See Figure 15.

Primers	Primer sequence
N1	AAATGAAGCGCTCCTTCTTTCTTCG (SEQ ID NO : 31)
N2	GCTTCCTTTGATGCGGTAAGAATGTTACGTGCCACCTCCATTATTTCCCCG (SEQ ID NO : 32)
N3	CGGGGAAATAATGGAGGTGGCACGTAAACATTCTTACCGCATCAAAGGAAGC (SEQ ID NO : 33)
N4	GAGCTTGCTCAAGAGCCTGATGACA (SEQ ID NO : 34)

The amplification used 0.5uM of primer pairs N1/N2 or N3/N4, 300uM of dNTP, 200 ng *Bacillus* chromosome DNA, 5U *Herculase* (Stratagene) and 1x *Herculase* buffer (Stratagene) in a 50 ul reaction volume.

The amplification parameters were: 94°C for 3min, 94°C for 30sec, 54°C for 30sec, and 68°C for 7.1 min for a total of 30 cycles. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit.

The assembly of the entire 7.4 kb fragment containing the mutagenized maturation site was done using 100 ng of each PCR fragment, 0.5uM each of Primers N1 & N4, 300uM of dNTP, 5U *Herculase* (Stratagene) and 1x *Herculase* buffer (Stratagene) in a 100 ul reaction volume. The parameters for the assembly reaction were as follows: 95°C for 30sec, 55°C for 30sec, and 68°C for 7min for a total of 30 cycles. The PCR reaction products were analyzed on an agarose gel.

#### Transformation

Transform 500ng of the PCR fusion product along with 50ng of *Bacillus* replicating plasmid DNA ( provides chloramphenicol resistance) into 100uL of hypercompetent *Bacillus* cells and plated on nutrient agar plates containing chloramphenicol (5γ) plates. The resulting colonies were screened for methionine auxotrophy and PCR for deletion of *metB*

- 27 -

gene. This method produced >900 recombinant deletions per microgram of transformation mix (>6% of chloramphenicol resistant colonies).

Various other examples and modifications of the foregoing description and examples will be apparent to a person skilled in the art after reading the disclosure without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention, and it is intended that all such examples or  
5 modifications be included within the scope of the appended claims. All publications and patents referenced herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

## CLAIMS

1. A method of producing a transformed microorganism, comprising:
  - (i) selecting a competent microorganism;
  - (ii) producing a DNA construct *in vitro*; and
  - (iii) directly transforming said microorganism with said DNA construct such that the DNA construct becomes integrated into a chromosome of said microorganism.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein said microorganism is selected from the group consisting of Acinetobacter, Thermus, Deinococcus, Radiodurans and Bacillus.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein said microorganism is a Bacillus.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein said Bacillus is a super-competent strain.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein said super-competent Bacillus is a Pxyl-comK strain.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA construct comprises homologous DNA selected from the group consisting of wild-type, mutagenized and modified DNA.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA construct comprises heterologous DNA selected from the group consisting of wild-type, mutagenized and modified DNA.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA construct comprises an incoming sequence of interest, flanked on each side by a homology box.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein said DNA construct further comprises stuffer sequences.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA construct is a non-plasmid DNA construct.
11. The method of claim 1 wherein the DNA construct is produced without the use of a shuttle vector or an intermediate host.


12. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of selecting a target sequence in a chromosome of said competent microorganism, and increasing the homology between said target sequence and said DNA construct.
13. A library of mutants produced by the method of claim 1.
14. Directed evolution of a sequence in the host cell chromosome, comprising:
  - (i) *in vitro* mutagenesis of a DNA construct,
  - (ii) direct transformation of the mutagenized sequence into a competent host cell,
  - (iii) screening for, or selection of, mutants possessing or exhibiting a desired property, and
  - (iv) repeating steps (i)-(iii) for one or more rounds.
15. The method of claim 14 wherein the host cell is a *Bacillus*.
16. The method of claim 15 wherein the *Bacillus* is a Pxyl-comK strain.
17. The method of claim 14, carried out so as to evolve single-copy genes of a competent *Bacillus* strain.
18. A method for constructing a sequence of interest at a target sequence of a selected microorganism, wherein said target sequence includes a residing marker, said method comprising the steps of:
  - (i) assembling a DNA construct *in vitro* comprising an incoming sequence, a selectable marker, and two flanking sequences which are homologous to sequences of said target sequence, wherein said selectable marker of the DNA construct is different than the residing marker of the microorganism;
  - (ii) transforming said microorganism with the DNA construct under conditions permitting the incoming sequence and selectable marker to inactivate the residing marker, and selecting for transformants that include the selectable marker;
  - (iii) repeating steps (i) and (ii) wherein with each repetition of said steps the DNA construct comprises a selectable marker different from the selectable marker in the previous step and the selectable marker of said previous step acts as the residing marker in said microorganism.

- 30 -


19. The method of claim 18, further comprising, after step (ii), the step of:  
testing the transformants for loss of the residing marker, thereby verifying that the  
construct was incorporated into the correct locus of the chromosome.




**Figure 1: Cloning by *in vitro* assembly and transformation of competent *Bacillus***




**Figure 2: Adding non-homologous flanks increases the transformation efficiency.**




# Figure 3: PCR mutagenesis of a region of the Bacillus chromosome




**Figure 4: Maximizing the homology between the transforming DNA and the target region of the chromosome increases the transformation efficiency.**



**Figure 5: Using a competent host that carries an inactive version of the marker gene, used to select transformants**



**Figure 6: General structure of transforming DNA**



## Figure 7: *Bacillus* strain construction by iterative marker replacement






Figure 8A





**Figure 8B. Optimization of homology box size**




Figure 9




Figure 10

Figure 11




Figure 12




Figure 13




Figure 14






Figure 15



(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
21 February 2002 (21.02.2002)

PCT


(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/014490 A3**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: C12N 15/00
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/25166
- (22) International Filing Date: 10 August 2001 (10.08.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
60/224,948 11 August 2000 (11.08.2000) US
- (71) Applicant: GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
[US/US]; 925 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US).
- (72) Inventors: DIAZ-TORRES, Maria, R.; 142 Johnson Avenue, Los Gatos, CA 94134 (US). SCHELLENBERGER, Volker; 914 Moreno Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94303 (US). SELIFONOVA, Olga, V.; Apartment 318, 1405 Olive Lane North, Plymouth, MN 55447 (US). MORRISON, Thomas, B.; 3767 Redwood Circle, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (US). LEE, Edwin, W.; 239 Sweeny Street, San Francisco, CA 94134 (US).
- (74) Agent: BOYD, Victoria, L.; Genencor International, Inc., 925 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:  
— with international search report
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report:  
6 February 2003

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: BACILLUS TRANSFORMATION, TRANSFORMANTS AND MUTANT LIBRARIES

## Cloning by *in vitro* assembly and transformation of competent *Bacillus*



(57) Abstract: The present invention provides methods for building DNA constructs *in vitro*, transforming such constructs into competent *Bacillus* strains with good efficiency, and generating populations of mutants. Also provided is a method to assemble DNA constructs *in situ*.



*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 Intern Application No  
 PCT/US 01/25166

 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC 7 C12N15/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C12N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BIOSIS

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 882 888 A (STEEN TROELS JORGENSEN) 16 March 1999 (1999-03-16) column 4, line 54 -column 7, line 6 column 7, line 21 -column 19, line 60; examples ---	1-4,6-13
X	EP 0 761 815 A (SANDOZ LTD) 12 March 1997 (1997-03-12) page 3, line 15 - line 31 page 3, line 40 - line 43 page 5, line 41 -page 6, line 38; examples 2,3 ---	1-4,6-13
X	US 6 083 718 A (MULLENERS LEO J S M ET AL) 4 July 2000 (2000-07-04) page 3, line 43 - line 53 column 4, line 13 - line 29 column 5, line 31 - line 61; examples I-IV ---	1-4, 6-11,13
-/-		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"G" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 July 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

07 10 2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA

 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

MONTERO LOPEZ B.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern:      Application No  
PCT/US 01/25166

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>US 4 828 994 A (STEPHEN R. FAHNESTOCK ET AL.) 9 May 1989 (1989-05-09)</p> <p>column 2, line 48 -column 3, line 2 column 3, line 47 -column 5, line 7; examples II,,III</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>1-4, 6-10,12, 13</p>

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In            tional application No.  
PCT/US 01/25166

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
  
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II. Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

see additional sheet

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

1-13

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. Claims: 1-13

Method for producing a transformed microorganism by integration of a DNA construct into the microorganism chromosome and library of mutants obtained by this method.

2. Claims: 14-17

Directed evolution of a sequence in the host cell chromosome by mutagenesis of a DNA construct, transformation into a competent cell and selection of the mutants exhibiting the desired property.

3. Claims: 18, 19

Method for constructing a sequence of interest at a target sequence of a microorganism by transformation with successive DNA constructs comprising different selectable markers to inactivate the residing marker.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern application No  
PCT/US 01/25166

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5882888	A	16-03-1999	AU 4431196 A	14-08-1996
			CN 1177380 A	25-03-1998
			WO 9623073 A1	01-08-1996
			EP 0805867 A1	12-11-1997
			JP 10512450 T	02-12-1998
			ZA 9600507 A	13-08-1996
EP 761815	A	12-03-1997	US 5827515 A	27-10-1998
			AU 6429796 A	06-03-1997
			BR 9603616 A	19-05-1998
			CA 2184301 A1	01-03-1997
			EP 0761815 A2	12-03-1997
			JP 9182590 A	15-07-1997
US 6083718	A	04-07-2000	US 5716807 A	10-02-1998
			US 5624829 A	29-04-1997
			AT 47881 T	15-11-1989
			AU 570709 B2	24-03-1988
			AU 3151084 A	07-02-1985
			DE 3480411 D1	14-12-1989
			DK 101385 A	05-03-1985
			EP 0134048 A1	13-03-1985
			ES 534102 D0	16-06-1986
			ES 8608579 A1	01-12-1986
			ES 545256 D0	01-08-1986
			ES 8609467 A1	16-12-1986
			FI 850845 A ,B,	01-03-1985
			GR 82200 A1	13-12-1984
			IE 58014 B1	16-06-1993
			JP 2664860 B2	22-10-1997
			JP 6189783 A	12-07-1994
			JP 2669457 B2	27-10-1997
			WO 8500382 A1	31-01-1985
			NZ 208806 A	28-07-1988
			US 5238833 A	24-08-1993
US 4828994	A	09-05-1989	DK 225386 A	11-07-1986
			EP 0195078 A1	24-09-1986
			FI 862036 A	15-05-1986
			WO 8601825 A1	27-03-1986
			JP 62500215 T	29-01-1987